MINISTRY OF SHIPPING PROFORMA FOR ANNUAL RETURN TO CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION (Under Section 25 of the Right to Information Act)

Year 2010-11 As on 31st March, 2011

Sl. No	Public Authority . under the Ministry	No. of requests received			Decisions where applications for Information rejected Number of cases where disciplinary Action was								Charges Collected						
1	2	3	No. of decisions	no. of times various provisions were invoked any officer respect						any officer in respect of administration of this Act									
							S	ec. 8 (1)						Othe	r Section	ons		
				(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(9)	(11)	(24)	Other 7(9)		
1.	V.O.Chidambaranar Port Trust, Tuticorin (Formerly Tuticorin Port Trust	92 including 15 carried over from last year (2009-10)	54					1											Rs.1,267/-

1. Includes penalties, all kinds of fees and costs etc. collected.

2. Includes partial accepted requests

Opening Balance brought forward from 2009-10

Total

- 15 cases - 77 cases

Current year request (2010-11)

92 cases

Pending as on 31.3.2011

38 cases

Number of decisions

54 cases

Reports/Returns for the period 1.4.2010 to 31.03.2011 under Section 25(1) to 25(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 as under :-

	Number of requests made to each public authority	applicants were not entitled to	The Number of appeals referred to the Central Information Commission for review, the nature of the appeals and the outcome of the appeals	disciplinary charges action taken collected by against any each public officer in authority	indicate an efforts by the public authorities to administer and	Suitable suggestions for reform, including recommendations those for development, improvement, modernization, reform for the amendment to this Act or other legislation or common Law or any other matter relevant for operationalization of the right to access the information
Name of the Wing	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) (e)	(f)	(g)
V.O.Chidamb aranar Port Trust, Tuticorin – 4 (Formerly Tuticorin Port Trust)	77	Total number of cases - 1 Section 8 (1) (e) - 1	(1) Although Information was provided to the appellant, he preferred an appeal not being satisfied with the reply given. After hearing by CIC through Video Conferencing, CIC directed in Case No. CIC / AT/ A/2010/000718 dated 6.12.2010 to provide the information after allowing the appellant to inspect the documents to the satisfaction of the appellant. The appellant has not preferred to inspect the documents for his own reasons despite arrangements made for such inspection. The fact was intimated to the CIC about the appellant not turning up for inspection and marking of documents to his satisfaction for providing the same.	NIL Rs.1,267/-	Public Information Officer and Appellate Authority with contact phone number and address displayed in public Notice Board in important areas of the Port and also published in	(iii) In one application, several questions on different subjects is sought resulting in enormous amount of time, energy and effort on the part of the pubic

requests	applicants were not entitled to		disciplinary charges action taken collected by against any each public officer in authority	indicate an efforts by the public authorities to administer and	Suitable suggestions for reform, including recommendations those for development, improvement, modernization, reform for the amendment to this Act or other legislation or common Law or any other matter relevant for operationalization of the right to access the information
		(2) Although Information was provided to the appellant, he preferred an appeal not being satisfied with the reply given. After hearing by CIC through Video Conferencing, CIC directed in Case No. CIC / AT/ C/ 2010/1275/SS dt.1.2.2011 to provide the information after allowing the appellant to inspect the documents to the satisfaction of the appellant. The appellant has not preferred to inspect the documents for his own reasons despite arrangements made for such inspection. The fact was intimated to the CIC about the appellant not turning up for inspection and marking of documents to his satisfaction for providing the same.			

FORM - III

QUARTERWISE ANALYSIS OF REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION REJECTED IN TUTICORIN PORT TRUST UNDER THE RTI ACT, 2005

Section	QI	QII	QIII	QIV	TOTAL
Sec. 8 (1) (a)					
Sec. 8 (1) (b)					
Sec. 8 (1) (c)					
Sec. 8 (1) (d)					
Sec. 8 (1) (e)	1				1
Sec. 8 (1) (f)					
Sec. 8 (1) (g)					
Sec. 8 (1) (h)					
Sec. 8 (1) (i)					
Sec. 8 (1) (j)					
Sec. 9					
Sec. 11					
Sec. 24					
Any other					
Section 7 (9)					
Total	1				1

PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER V.O.CHIDAMBARANAR PORT TRUST

V.O.CHIDAMBARANAR PORT TRUST VIGILANCE DEPARTMENT PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICE

RTI ANNUAL RETURN INFORMATION SYSTEM (2010-11)

ANNUAL RETURN FORM

Ministry / Department / Organisation : Ministry of Shipping / V.O.Chidambaranar Port Trust, Tuticorin

Year 2010-11 (up to March, 2011)

Insert Mode (New Return)

		Progress in 2010-11							
	Opening Balance as on 1.4.2010	Received during the year (including cases transferred to other Public Authority)	No.of cases transferred	Decisions where requests/appeals rejected	Decisions where requests/appeals accepted				
Requests	12	70	3	1	46				
First Appeal	3	7	NIL	NIL	4				

No.of cases where disciplinary action taken against any officer	Nil	
No.of CAPIOs designated	No.of CPIOs designated	No.of AAs designated
Nil	1	1

	2												
	No.of times various provisions were invoked while rejecting requests												
	Relevant Sections of RTI Act, 2005												
				Sectio	n 8 (1)					Sections			
а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	9	11	24	Others 7 (9)
				1									

Annual charges collected (in Rupees)								
Registration Fee Amount	Additional Fee and Any other charges	Penalties Amount						
Rs.885/- (2010-11)	Rs.382/-	NIL						

Last date of uploading the pro-active disclosures on the website of Public Authority	Format (dd/mm/yy)
Name of the person who is entering /updating data	Shri T.S.Ashok Kumar,
Designation of the person who is entering updating data	Public Information Officer, Tuticorin Port Trust, Tuticorin – 628 004.